

1 Robert O. Whyte (SBN 130021)  
2 Christina A. Dondero (SBN 230616)  
3 **NIESAR & WHYTE, LLP**  
4 90 New Montgomery Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
5 San Francisco, California 94105  
6 Telephone: (415) 882-5300  
7 Facsimile: (415) 882-5400

8  
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant  
10 Thomas Anderson

11  
12  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 THOMAS ANDERSON,

16 Case No.: 07 CV 6372 EMC

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 **ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM**

20 WORLDWATER & SOLAR  
21 TECHNOLOGIES CORP., a Delaware  
22 corporation, formerly known as  
23 WORLDWATER & POWER CORP., a  
24 Delaware corporation; QUENTIN T. KELLY,  
inclusive,

25 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

26 Defendants.

27 WORLDWATER & SOLAR  
28 TECHNOLOGIES CORP., a Delaware  
corporation,

29 Counterclaimant,

30 v.

31 THOMAS ANDERSON, an individual,

32 Counter-Defendant.

33 Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Thomas Anderson (“Anderson”) in answering the  
34 allegations contained in Defendant/Counterclaimant WorldWater & Solar Technologies Corp.’s  
35 (“WorldWater”) counterclaim (the “Counterclaim”), admits, denies and alleges as follows:  
36

1       1. In response to paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim, Anderson on information and  
2 belief, admits that WorldWater is a Delaware corporation, but lacks information sufficient to form  
3 a belief as to WorldWater's remaining allegations in paragraph 1 and on that basis denies each  
4 and every remaining allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 1.

5       2. Anderson admits the allegations of paragraph 2 of the Counterclaim.

6       3. Anderson admits the allegations of paragraph 3 of the Counterclaim.

7       4. In response to paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim, Anderson admits that the Court  
8 has subject matter jurisdiction over WorldWater's counterclaim against Anderson on the basis of  
9 diversity of citizenship, and except as admitted, Anderson denies each and every remaining  
10 allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 4.

11       5. Anderson admits the allegations of paragraph 5 of the Counterclaim.

12       6. In response to paragraph 6 of the Counterclaim, Anderson admits that WorldWater  
13 is an international solar engineering and water management company using high-powered solar  
14 technology to provide solutions to problems consistent with water supply and energy demands,  
15 and except as admitted, Anderson lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to  
16 WorldWater's remaining allegations in paragraph 6 and on that basis denies each and every  
17 remaining allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 6.

18       7. In response to paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim, Anderson admits that on or about  
19 February 5, 2003 in the City of Mill Valley, Marin County, California, Anderson and  
20 WorldWater memorialized their agreement in writing and entered into a certain Letter Agreement  
21 wherein WorldWater and Anderson agreed that Anderson would represent WorldWater in  
22 marketing Aquamax to what is commonly known as the "winery industry" throughout the State of  
23 California, and except as admitted, Anderson denies each and every remaining allegation in  
24 WorldWater's paragraph number 7.

25       8. In response to paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim, Anderson admits that Worldwater  
26 and Anderson agreed that Anderson would represent WorldWater in marketing Aquamax to what  
27 is commonly known as the "winery industry" throughout the State of California, and Anderson  
28

1 admits the Letter Agreement states Anderson may be asked or may volunteer to participate in  
2 sales or projects other than those involving Anderson's winery industry market, and except as  
3 admitted, Anderson denies each and every remaining allegation in WorldWater's paragraph  
4 number 8.

5 9. In response to paragraph 9 of the Counterclaim, Anderson admits that the Letter  
6 Agreement states "During and for a period of one year after your representation status with  
7 WWC, you agree to keep confidential any and all confidential or non-public Company documents  
8 trade secrets and other information including, but not limited to, patent work, engineering  
9 drawings, product designs, R&D results, clients lists, pricing strategy, product cost data,  
10 proprietary technical information, corporate policies and procedures, and corporate marketing and  
11 financial plans and strategies. In the event your representation ceases for any reason, all  
12 documents owned by the Company shall be returned to the Company", and except as admitted,  
13 Anderson denies each and every remaining allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 9.

14 10. In response to paragraph 10 of the Counterclaim, Anderson lacks information  
15 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater's allegations, and on that basis denies  
16 each and every allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 10.

17 11. In response to paragraph 11 of the Counterclaim, Anderson admits that defendant  
18 Quentin Kelly ("Kelly") introduced Anderson to Thomas O'Brien ("O'Brien") by telephone in or  
19 about late 2001 and that pursuant to instructions from Kelly for Anderson to provide financing  
20 quote support for O'Brien, Anderson attended the Baker Ranch and Joshua Basin projects, and as  
21 admitted, Anderson denies each and every remaining allegation in WorldWater's paragraph  
22 number 11.

23 12. In response to paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim, Anderson lacks information  
24 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater's allegations, and on that basis denies  
25 each and every allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 12.

26 13. In response to paragraph 13 of the Counterclaim, Anderson lacks information  
27 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater's allegations, and on that basis denies  
28 each and every allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 13.

1       14. In response to paragraph 14 of the Counterclaim, Anderson lacks information  
2 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater's allegations, and on that basis denies  
3 each and every allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 14.

4       15. In response to paragraph 15 of the Counterclaim, Anderson lacks information  
5 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater's allegations, and on that basis denies  
6 each and every allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 15.

7       16. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 16 of the Counterclaim.

8       17. In response to paragraph 17 of the Counterclaim, Anderson lacks information  
9 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater's allegations as they pertain to whether  
10 O'Brien misappropriated WorldWater's trade secrets and competed unfairly with WorldWater,  
11 and on that basis denies that allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 17. With respect to  
12 the remaining allegations in WorldWater's paragraph number 17 that Anderson knew about,  
13 intended, and agreed to such misappropriation, as follows in sub-paragraphs a.-h., Anderson  
14 denies each and every remaining allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 17, including  
15 sub-paragraphs a.-h.

16       18. In response to paragraph 18 of the Counterclaim, Anderson lacks information  
17 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater's allegations, and on that basis denies  
18 each and every allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 18.

19       19. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 19 of the Counterclaim.

20       20. In response to paragraph 20 of the Counterclaim, Anderson lacks information  
21 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater's allegations, and on that basis denies  
22 each and every allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 20.

23       21. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 21 of the Counterclaim.

24       22. In response to paragraph 22 of the Counterclaim, Anderson lacks information  
25 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater's allegations, and on that basis denies  
26 each and every allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 22.

27       23. In response to paragraph 23 of the Counterclaim, with respect to the first sentence  
28 contained in paragraph number 23, Anderson lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to

1 the truth of WorldWater's allegations, and on that basis denies each and every allegation in the  
2 first sentence to WorldWater's paragraph number 23. With respect to the second sentence of  
3 paragraph number 23, Anderson denies that in late 2006 and early 2007 he had ceased to be a  
4 marketing representative of WorldWater.

5 24. Anderson restates his answers to paragraphs 1-23 of the Counterclaim as and for  
6 his answer to paragraph 24.

7 25. In response to paragraph 25 of the Counterclaim, Anderson admits that on or about  
8 February 5, 2003 in the City of Mill Valley, Marin County, California, Anderson and  
9 WorldWater memorialized their agreement in writing and entered into a certain Letter Agreement  
10 wherein Worldwater and Anderson agreed that Anderson would represent WorldWater in  
11 marketing Aquamax to what is commonly known as the "winery industry" throughout the State of  
12 California, and except as admitted, Anderson denies each and every remaining allegation in  
13 WorldWater's paragraph number 25.

14 26. In response to paragraph 26 of the Counterclaim, Anderson admits that the Letter  
15 Agreement states "During and for a period of one year after your representation status with  
16 WWC, you agree to keep confidential any and all confidential or non-public Company documents  
17 trade secrets and other information including, but not limited to, patent work, engineering  
18 drawings, product designs, R&D results, clients lists, pricing strategy, product cost data,  
19 proprietary technical information, corporate policies and procedures, and corporate marketing and  
20 financial plans and strategies. In the event your representation ceases for any reason, all  
21 documents owned by the Company shall be returned to the Company", and except as admitted,  
22 Anderson denies each and every remaining allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 26.

23 27. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 27 of the Counterclaim.

24 28. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 28 of the Counterclaim.

25 29. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 29 of the Counterclaim.

26 30. Anderson restates his answers to paragraphs 1-35 of the Counterclaim as and for  
27 his answer to paragraph 30.

28 31. In response to paragraph 31 of the Counterclaim, Anderson admits that on or about

1 February 5, 2003 in the City of Mill Valley, Marin County, California, Anderson and  
 2 WorldWater memorialized their agreement in writing and entered into a certain Letter Agreement  
 3 wherein Worldwater and Anderson agreed that Anderson would represent WorldWater in  
 4 marketing Aquamax to what is commonly known as the “winery industry” throughout the State of  
 5 California, and except as admitted, Anderson denies each and every remaining allegation in  
 6 WorldWater’s paragraph number 31.

7       32. Anderson admits that implied in the Letter Agreement is a covenant of good faith  
 8 and fair dealing wherein WorldWater and Anderson agreed that no one would do any acts that  
 9 would have the effect of frustrating or preventing any party’s enjoyment of the benefits of the  
 10 Letter Agreement, including Anderson’s entitlement to receive compensation, by among other  
 11 things, exercising stock options to purchase shares of WorldWater common stock (the “Stock”) as  
 12 follows:

- 13           a. For the year 2003: Options to purchase 60,000 shares at \$0.15 per share
  - 14           b. For the year 2004: Options to purchase 60,000 shares at \$0.132 per share
  - 15           c. For the year 2005: Options to purchase 60,000 shares at \$0.30 per share.
- 16           (“Options”).

17       33. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 33 of the Counterclaim.

18       34. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 34 of the Counterclaim.

19       35. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 35 of the Counterclaim.

20       36. Anderson restates his answers to paragraphs 1-35 of the Counterclaim as and for  
 21 his answer to paragraph 36 of the Counterclaim.

22       37. In response to paragraph 37 of the Counterclaim, Anderson admits that on or about  
 23 February 5, 2003 in the City of Mill Valley, Marin County, California, Anderson and  
 24 WorldWater memorialized their agreement in writing and entered into a certain Letter Agreement  
 25 wherein Worldwater and Anderson agreed that Anderson would represent WorldWater in  
 26 marketing Aquamax to what is commonly known as the “winery industry” throughout the State of  
 27 California, and Anderson admits that that the Letter Agreement states “During and for a period of  
 28 one year after your representation status with WWC, you agree to keep confidential any and all

1 confidential or non-public Company documents trade secrets and other information including, but  
2 not limited to, patent work, engineering drawings, product designs, R&D results, clients lists,  
3 pricing strategy, product cost data, proprietary technical information, corporate policies and  
4 procedures, and corporate marketing and financial plans and strategies. In the event your  
5 representation ceases for any reason, all documents owned by the Company shall be returned to  
6 the Company”, and except as admitted, Anderson denies each and every remaining allegation in  
7 WorldWater’s paragraph number 37.

8       38.     Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 38 of the Counterclaim.  
9       39.     Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 39 of the Counterclaim.  
10      40.     Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 40 of the Counterclaim.  
11      41.     Anderson restates his answers to paragraphs 1-40 of the Counterclaim as and for  
12 his answer to paragraph 41.

13       42.     In response to paragraph 42 of the Counterclaim, lacks information sufficient to  
14 form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater’s allegations, and on that basis denies each and every  
15 allegation in WorldWater’s paragraph number 42.

16       43.     In response to paragraph 43 of the Counterclaim, lacks information sufficient to  
17 form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater’s allegations, and on that basis denies each and every  
18 allegation in WorldWater’s paragraph number 43.

19       44.     Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 44 of the Counterclaim.  
20      45.     Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 45 of the Counterclaim.  
21      46.     Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 46 of the Counterclaim.  
22      47.     Anderson restates his answers to paragraphs 1-46 of the Counterclaim as and for  
23 his answer to paragraph 47.

24       48.     In response to paragraph 48 of the Counterclaim, lacks information sufficient to  
25 form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater’s allegations, and on that basis denies each and every  
26 allegation in WorldWater’s paragraph number 48.

27       49.     In response to paragraph 49 of the Counterclaim, lacks information sufficient to  
28 form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater’s allegations, and on that basis denies each and every

1 allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 49.

2 50. In response to paragraph 50 of the Counterclaim, lacks information sufficient to  
3 form a belief as to the truth of WorldWater's allegations, and on that basis denies each and every  
4 allegation in WorldWater's paragraph number 50.

5 51. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 51 of the Counterclaim.

6 52. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 52 of the Counterclaim.

7 53. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 53 of the Counterclaim.

8 54. Anderson restates his answers to paragraphs 1-53 of the Counterclaim as and for  
9 his answer to paragraph 54.

10 55. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 55 of the Counterclaim.

11 56. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 56 of the Counterclaim.

12 57. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 57 of the Counterclaim.

13 58. Anderson restates his answers to paragraphs 1-57 of the Counterclaim as and for  
14 his answer to paragraph 58.

15 59. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 59 of the Counterclaim.

16 60. Anderson denies the allegations of paragraph 60 of the Counterclaim.

17 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES:**

18 **FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

19 The Counterclaim and each any every purported cause of action therein, fails to state facts  
20 sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Anderson.

21 **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

22 Anderson's performance under the contract herein concerned was and is excused by  
23 reason of WorldWater's failure to perform its obligations under the contract.

24 **THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

25 Any claim based upon breach of contract is barred to the extent that WorldWater has  
26 failed to fulfill any contractual conditions precedent.

**FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Any claim based upon breach of contract is barred to the extent that WorldWater has failed to fulfill any contractual conditions subsequent.

**FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Any claim based upon breach of contract and/or breach of any alleged implied contract is barred to the extent that WorldWater has failed to fulfill any contractual conditions concurrent.

**SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Counterclaim, and each cause of action thereof, is barred upon application of equitable principles of waiver, estoppel, latches, unclean hands and/or ratification.

**SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Counterclaim, and each cause of action thereof, is barred by reason of a material failure of consideration with respect to the subject matter of any agreement by and between the parties.

**EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

WorldWater's Counterclaim is barred by the rights of setoff and offset.

**NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Counterclaim, and each cause of action thereof, is barred to the extent WorldWater breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

**TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Counterclaim is barred to the extent WorldWater fraudulently induced Anderson to enter into any alleged contract or agreement.

**ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Any claim based upon an alleged breach of contract is barred to the extent WorldWater anticipatorily breached any alleged contract or agreement.

**TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Counterclaim is barred to the extent WorldWater made: intentional and/or negligent misrepresentations; false promises with the intent to not perform; and concealed and/or suppressed material facts to Anderson to induce it to enter into any alleged contract or agreement.

**THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Because of WorldWater's breaches of contract, fraud and misrepresentations, and other violations of California law, any alleged contract or agreement is voidable solely and exclusively by Anderson.

**FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Anderson is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that WorldWater's counterclaim was not filed with reasonable cause or in the good faith belief that there was a justified controversy or injury to WorldWater under the facts and law which warranted WorldWater's filing of the Counterclaim against Anderson.

**FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Anderson incorporates and realleges each and every claim for relief set forth in the Complaint on file herein, which allegations and relief are a complete defense to WorldWater's Counterclaim.

**SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Anderson is entitled to express and equitable indemnity from third parties and/or WorldWater for any alleged damages, attorneys' fees, or costs allegedly suffered by WorldWater because other persons, including WorldWater are solely or partly responsible for the actions alleged to have been undertaken by Anderson.

**SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Counterclaim is barred to the extent that WorldWater failed to comply with the qualifications and notice requirements under Section 25110 with respect to the Options.

**EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Counterclaim is barred and/or recovery, if any, from Anderson must be reduced or eliminated in total because other persons are solely or partly responsible any alleged damages because WorldWater and/or other third parties acting with the consent or knowledge of WorldWater, solicited Anderson to act in the manner alleged in the Counterclaim, and as a result, WorldWater's recovery, if any, from Anderson must be reduced accordingly or eliminated in total.

## **NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

2 The Counterclaim is barred and/or recovery, if any, from Anderson must be reduced or  
3 eliminated in total because other persons are solely or partly responsible for any alleged damages  
4 of Worldwater.

## **TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

6 Anderson has insufficient information or knowledge upon which to form a belief as to  
7 whether it may have additional unstated affirmative defenses available to it, and thus reserves the  
8 right to assert additional affirmative defenses in the event it determines that additional affirmative  
9 defenses are available to it.

10 WHEREFORE, Anderson prays for judgment against WorldWater on the Counterclaim as  
11 follows:

- 12        1. That WorldWater take nothing by way of its Counterclaim;  
13        2. For its costs of suit herein, including reasonable attorney's fees and expenses;  
14        3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

16 DATED: January 17, 2008

**NIESAR & WHYTE, LLP**

By: /s/  
Robert O. Whyte  
Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant  
Thomas Anderson